1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
3	* * *	
4	ALLANNA WARREN,	Case No. 2:23-cv-01377-APG-EJY
5	Plaintiff,	
6	V.	ORDER
7	DOLLAR TREE,	
8	Defendant.	
9		
10	Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 45 Subpoen	
11	styled as a motion on the docket, seeking production of document from Defendant. ECF No. 58. Th	
12	Court exercises its inherent authority to manage discovery and control its docket rather than requir	
13	wasteful motion practice that is contrary to Rule 1 of the Federal Rules.	
14	A Rule 45 subpoena "cannot be used to circumvent Rule 34 or the other discover	
15	rules." McCall v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 2:16-cv-01058-JAD-GWF, 2017 WL 3174914	
16	*6 (D. Nev. 2017). Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows for one party to reque	
17	documents from another party. A request for production of documents is the proper and the primar	
18	way to obtain documents from an opposing party. 8A Charles Alan Wright et al., Federal Practic	
19	and Procedure § 2204 at 365 (2nd ed. 1994), (Rule 45 should not be allowed when it circumvent	
20	the requirements and protections of Rule 34).	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Rule 45 subpoena is quashed an	
22	the docket is to reflect ECF No. 58 is DENIED.	
23	Dated this 9th day of February, 2024.	
24	Clayra J. Zouchah	
25		
26	UNI UNI	TYNAT. YOUGHAH TED STATES MAGISPRATE JUDGE
,, l		